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ABSTRACT
In this current work, the effect of different concentrations of nickel (Ni) dopant on the structural, morphological and optical properties of undoped Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (NPs) are analyzed. Nickel doped Fe₃O₄ (NiFe₃O₄) NPs of five concentrations can be represented as 0.5% as NF1, 1.0 % as NF2, 1.5 % as NF3, 2 % as NF4 and 2.5 % as NF5. Undoped Fe₃O₄ and NiFe₃O₄ NPs are prepared by Chemical co-precipitation method from a mixture of FeCl₂·4H₂O and FeCl₃·6H₂O salts. Structural, morphological and optical properties of the synthesized undoped Fe₃O₄ and NiFe₃O₄ NPs were deliberated by a choice of characterization techniques such as XRD, FTIR, FE-SEM and UV-VIS. XRD established the characteristic structure, phase and purity of the synthesized undoped Fe₃O₄ and NiFe₃O₄ NPs; Average crystallite size is found to decrease with increasing Ni concentration. Surface morphology of undoped Fe₃O₄ and NiFe₃O₄ NPs was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The existence of FTIR peaks at 563.2 cm⁻¹ and 433.5 cm⁻¹ confirmed the formation of Fe₃O₄ NPs. It is due to the stretching vibrations of the Fe-O bond. The optical absorption of the synthesized nanomaterials was studied by DR UV-Vis spectrometer. Band gap measurements revealed that the indirect band gap values for synthesized Ni-doped nanomaterials (1.25 eV) are higher than the determinant value for the undoped Fe₃O₄ NPs (0.75 eV) due to a decrease in lattice constant. The results indicated that the Ni-doped Fe₃O₄ NPs strongly influences the microstructure, crystal structure and energy band gap.
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INTRODUCTION
Iron oxide is available in three phases in nature are Fe₃O₄, γ-Fe₂O₃ and α-Fe₂O₃ and, it is one of the most important transitions metal oxide¹. The catalytic activity, biocompatibility, low-cost, non-toxicity and environmentally friendly nature of iron oxide materials leads to great research interest². Metal oxide nanoparticles have widespread applications in energy materials, thermoehromic, magnetism, biomedicine, imaging, communications technology and data storage, catalysts for organic transformations and super hydrophobic surfaces³. Among all, Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) is a most extensively studied ferrimagnetic oxide that has an inverse spinel structure. It attributes distinctive magnetic and electric properties due to the electron hopping between Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions in the octahedral sites. It is famous that the catalytic activity of Fe₃O₄ could be improved by doping them with transition metal ions⁴. A broad selection of methods include co-precipitation⁵, wet chemical reduction synthesis⁶, electrochemical⁷, solid state reaction⁸, microwave-assisted⁹, sol-gel method¹⁰, Novel flow injection¹¹,
Hydrothermal Preparation\textsuperscript{12}, solvothermal\textsuperscript{13}, have been reported for the preparation of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles\textsuperscript{14}. In this article, we reported the synthesis of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs using simple chemical co-precipitation technique. The aim of this work was to study the effect of nickel dopant on structural, morphological and optical characteristics of the prepared Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles by different characterization techniques, for instance, XRD, FTIR, FE-SEM and UV-VIS. The obtained results are deeply discussed in this research paper.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Material**

Chemical reagents such as Ferrous chloride tetrahydrate [FeCl\textsubscript{2}.4H\textsubscript{2}O (99\%)] and Nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate [Ni(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O(99\%)] obtained from Sigma Aldrich, Ferric chloride hexahydrate [FeCl\textsubscript{3}.6H\textsubscript{2}O (99\%)– LR] from Dr. MACS BIO-PHARMA PRIVATE LIMITED, Sodium sulfate from Quality traders, were used as received.

Synthesis of undoped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and different concentrations of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NiFe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) Nanoparticles [0.5 atomic % of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NF1), 1 atomic % of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NF2), 1.5 atomic % of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NF3), 2 atomic% of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NF4) and 2.5 atomic % of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (NF5)].

Aqueous solution (25 mL) consist of 2 g of FeCl\textsubscript{3}.6H\textsubscript{2}O and 5.4 g of FeCl\textsubscript{2}.4H\textsubscript{2}O and its Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/ Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ratio is found to be 1:2. The solution mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Now, NH\textsubscript{4}OH solution (NH\textsubscript{3} about 25\%) was added quickly to the above mixture under continuous stirring. Initially, the brown precipitate is obtained and turns to black after 30 min. stirring. The precipitate is then washed with distilled water till it reaches to pH=7. Then obtained precipitate was dried at 60\degree C in a hot air oven. Similar steps are followed for the synthesis of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} for all five concentrations (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2 and 2.5 atomic percentages) using Ni(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O as precursor\textsuperscript{15}.

**Characterizations**

Bruker Kappa APEXII FEI Quanta FEG 200 High-Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-SEM) was used for studying the morphology of the product. Crystal structure of the Nanomaterialswas studied from Bruker AXS D8 Advance X-ray Powder Diffractometer with α-Cu, λ is 1.5406 \textdegree\text{A}. The FTIR studies were performed on a Thermo Nicolet, Avatar 370 instrument. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of the nanoparticles (NPs) were obtained by a UV-VIS-NIR Spectrophotometer (Varian, Cary 5000).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structural Properties**

**XRD**

Figure-1 represents the XRD pattern of undoped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs doped with different amounts of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} (0.5\%, 1\%, 1.5\%, 2\% and 2.5\%). The observed diffraction peaks of all samples exactly match to standard pattern characteristic peaks of the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} cubic structure (JCPDS No.85-1436). Secondary phase like Ni-O, Ni and Fe-O were not found in the XRD pattern suggesting the synthesis of high-quality NiFe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanomaterials (NPs) through chemical co-precipitation method. The XRD data showed that the addition of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} dopant in Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} lattice doesn’t alter its lattice due to Ni\textsuperscript{2+} acts similar to Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions at the deposition and formation steps\textsuperscript{16,17}. But it produces a slight shift in diffraction peaks towards higher 2θ values and also the intensity of the peak (311) improved for NF1 due to dopant added due to the ionic radius of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} (69 pm) is lower than Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ion (78 pm)\textsuperscript{18}. The slight shift in XRD peaks change in lattice parameter and crystallite size for different concentrations of Ni-doped Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs indicated that Ni has really doped into the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} structure\textsuperscript{5} and the consecutive peak shift represents that the prepared nanomaterials are not a physical mixtures\textsuperscript{19}.

To investigate the effects of Ni doping on Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NPs structural characteristics, Average crystalline size is calculated for (311) diffraction peak using Debye-Scherrer formula by equation (1).
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad (1)

Where, wavelength (\lambda) = 1.54 Å of incident X-rays, the angle of diffraction (\theta), the full width at half-maximum (\beta) and the grain shape factor (K) = 0.94. The lattice constant value can be calculated by equation (2) that is associated with cubic structures:

a = \frac{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}}{d_{hkl}} \quad (2)

Where Miller indices (h k l) and the interplanar distance d_{hkl}.

The broad diffraction peaks for the prepared samples represent the formation of NPs. When the concentration of dopant is above 1 atomic % (in case of NF3-NF5) broad diffraction peaks observed which means particles size decreased. The variation of the crystallite size of the NPs does not linearly depend on Ni doping concentration can be ascribed to the different dry gel formation time of the spinel ferrite, which favored the formation of new nuclei and preventing further growth of particles represented in Table-1. The crystallite size decreased from 11.5 nm to 5.01 nm with an increase of Ni doping level from 0.5 % to 2 % and increased again when the percentage of Ni dopant increased to 2.5, it suggests that Ni at 2 % is the doping limit in Fe$_3$O$_4$ lattice. All these changes indicate the doping of Ni took place in Fe$_3$O$_4$ lattice.

The peak intensity decreased when Fe$_3$O$_4$ doped with different concentrations (0.5 %, 1 %, 1.5 %, and 2.0 %) of nickel, and the presence of nucleation centers in nickel increase the width of the diffraction peaks demonstrating a decrease of the grain size with respect to the pure sample. Registered broad and low-intensity diffraction peaks confirm the high dispersion of prepared spinels.

The lattice parameter decreased with the increasing amount of Ni$^{2+}$ ions in magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) NPs, since the ionic radius of Ni$^{2+}$ (0.69 Å) is smaller than the ionic radius of Fe$^{3+}$ (0.77 Å) ions, and also Partial substitution of Ni ions with Fe ions in Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs, which represents the deviation from Vegaard’s law as mentioned in the previous paper. The increase in lattice parameters can be due to interstitial incorporation of Ni ions in Fe$_3$O$_4$ matrix. Cation distributions in tetrahedral and octahedral sites are explained on the basis of change in the intensities of the (220) and (440) planes as they are sensitive to the distribution of cation among tetrahedral site A and octahedral site B of the spinel lattice. The variation in I$_{220}$ and I$_{440}$ of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ (0 %, 0.5 %, 1 %, 1.5 %, 2 %, 2.5 %) NPs shown in table 1. The deviation in the values of I$_{220}$/I$_{440}$ may be ascribed to the cations distribution at A and B site.

Fig.-1: XRD Patterns of Undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Different Concentrations of Nickel Doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs.
SEM
The morphology of the NiFe$_3$O$_4$ NPs was studied using SEM. Figure-2 shows the SEM graphs of the undoped and Ni-doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanomaterials. SEM images revealed that the undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs undergo agglomeration form due to the magnetic interaction between the particles. The existence of high agglomerated particles indicates pore-free crystallites. The SEM images also show the agglomerated form of NiFe$_3$O$_4$ due to the high surface energies possessed by NPs. The NiFe$_3$O$_4$ NPs were observed as uniform grains with spherical shaped confirming the crystalline structure of NiFe$_3$O$_4$ which is also detected by the XRD profile. It should be noted that the X-ray estimated sizes are in all cases slightly vary with those determined by SEM shown in Table-2. The difference between X-ray and SEM data comes from the low signal/background ratio and the noisy pattern of the XRD peaks. The mean size of the particle decreases from 10.12 nm to 5.80 nm with the increase of nickel concentration into Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles and are also in good agreement with a particle size values obtained from XRD. It shows that the morphology of Ni-doped sample is significantly changed; hence, the presence of Ni has a key role in the variation of particle sizes.

![SEM Images of Undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Different Concentrations of Nickel Doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and their Particle size distribution curves.](image-url)

Fig.-2: SEM Images of Undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Different Concentrations of Nickel Doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and their Particle size distribution curves.
Table-1: Summary of Doping Concentrations, Lattice Parameters and Average Crystalline Size of Ni Doped Fe₃O₄ Nanomaterials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Different Concentrations of Ni Doped Fe₃O₄ NPs</th>
<th>Interplanar Spacing (Å)</th>
<th>Lattice Constant (a)</th>
<th>Average Crystalline Size (nm)</th>
<th>I_220/I_440</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2.514</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF3</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.503</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.507</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF5</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.514</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Average Size of the Nanomaterials Obtained from XRD and SEM Images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fe₃O₄</th>
<th>NF1</th>
<th>NF2</th>
<th>NF3</th>
<th>NF4</th>
<th>NF5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average particle size (nm) from XRD</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average particle size (nm) from SEM</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FTIR

Chemical structure of the prepared nanomaterials can be determined using FTIR spectroscopy. FT-IR peaks corresponding to the prepared nanomaterials are displayed in Table-3. FTIR spectrum of the Undoped Fe₃O₄ NPs and different concentrations of Ni-doped Fe₃O₄ NPs (frequency range of 4000-500 cm⁻¹) were shown in Fig.-3. The bands at 552 and 464 cm⁻¹ represented vibration bands of Fe-O bond. The spectrum shows important bands near 3394.1 and 162.9 cm⁻¹ is accredited to the absorbed water or OH⁻ on the surface of the sample. FTIR bands at 563.2 and 433.5 cm⁻¹ indicate the formation of Fe₃O₄ NPs. It is caused by the stretching vibrations of the tetrahedral Fe-O bond. This stretching mode suggests the successful substitution of Ni ion in Fe₃O₄ NPs which also established by X-ray diffraction and composition analysis. It represents that there is a slight shift in all the characteristic peaks towards higher wave number which can be understood as the difference in the bond length between Fe, Ni and O ions that occurs when Ni ions replace Fe ions. Thus, FTIR analysis confirming the successful doping of Ni ion into Fe₃O₄ lattice.

Table-3: Representation of Functional Groups of Undoped Fe₃O₄ and Ni Doped Fe₃O₄ NPs (Different Concentrations) from FTIR Spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Vibration</th>
<th>Vibration Frequency for Different Ni Concentrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe₃O₄ cm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending vibrations of –OH group</td>
<td>3394.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching vibrations of –OH group</td>
<td>1621.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The symmetric C=O stretching vibration of the carboxylate (CO₂⁻) group</td>
<td>1382.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching vibrations of the tetrahedral Fe-O bond</td>
<td>563.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching vibrations of the octahedral Fe-O bond</td>
<td>433.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optical properties

Figure-4 shows the DRS-UV-Visible spectroscopy of undoped Fe₃O₄ NPs and different concentrations of Ni-doped Fe₃O₄ NPs. The absorption spectrums were measured to investigate Ni doping effect on Fe₃O₄.
NPs optical properties such as optical absorption and band gap. The absorbance spectrum of undoped Fe₂O₃ NPs shows the absorbance is in the UV region of the wavelength 321 nm, and the same shifted towards the higher wavelength such as 328 and 325 nm for concentrations of dopant increases from 1-2.5 atomic %. While, the absorption edge lies between 393-658 nm. When, Ni doping level has increased the absorbance of the samples increased due to the dopant impurities. Absorption properties of all the samples give similar UV-Visible absorption spectra the only difference is that the absorption moves to longer and longer wavelengths. This infers the amount of delocalization in the NPs increases with increase in Ni content. Fe₂O₃ (magnetite) has both direct and indirect band gaps.

The energy gap values of undoped Fe₂O₃ and different concentrations of Ni-doped Fe₂O₃ NPs were calculated using Tauc’s equation (3) in which the extrapolation from the absorption edge gives the E₉ value.

\[ \alpha h\nu = A (h\nu - E_g)^{n/2} \]  

(3)
Absorption coefficient ($\alpha$), constant ($A$), $n$ is 0.5 (Direct transition), energygap ($E_g$), $h$ is Planck’s constant ($h = 6.625 \times 10^{-34}$ J.Sec), frequency ($\nu$). Following the direct band-gap rule ($\alpha h \nu^2 = A (h \nu - E_g)$), the curve of ($\alpha h \nu^2$)Vs $h \nu$ and the indirect band-gap rule ($\alpha h \nu^{1/2} = A (h \nu - E_g)$), the curve of ($\alpha h \nu^{1/2}$)Vs$h \nu$was plotted as shown in Fig.-5(a) and (b)38. Obtained results were represented in Table-4.

Table-4: Direct and Indirect Energy Band Gap Values of Undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Different Concentrations of Ni Doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Different Concentrations of Ni Doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs</th>
<th>Direct Energy Band Gap Value (eV)</th>
<th>Indirect Energy Band Gap Value (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF2</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF3</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF4</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF5</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ni-doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ at different concentrations of nickel showed a slight decrease in the band gap compared to pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ with the increase of nickel concentration. The decrease in the energy gap is not related to the size of a particle may be due to the network distortions narrowing the band gap, the presence of nickel ions in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ medium and the creation of impurity energy levels with the band gap. It represents that the samples have the potential ability for photocatalytic degradation of organic contaminants in waste water under visible-light irradiation5,20,24.

CONCLUSION

Undoped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs and Ni-doped Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (NF1, NF2, NF3, NF4 and NF5) were synthesized using Chemical co-precipitation technique. XRD peaks represented all the synthesized samples exhibited the cubic inverse spinel structure. No new phases were found even at higher doping concentration (2.5% of Ni-doped). The intensity of the diffracted peaks varied with an increase in the concentration of Ni dopant. The FTIR characteristic peaks shift towards higher wave number confirmed the successful doping of Ni ion into Fe$_3$O$_4$ lattice. Energy gap value decreased from 2.76 eV to 1.89 eV (direct band gap value) and
2.53 eV to 1.32 eV (indirect band gap value) with the increase of Ni concentration, which are the most essential features for photocatalytic applications.
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