ANALYTICAL METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF PENDO-PURGATIVE BY RP-HPLC
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ABSTRACT
A simple, rapid, sensitive specific, accurate, RP-HPLC method was developed and validated for determination of Pendo-Purgative containing Sodium picosulphate and Citric acid in a combined formulation. According to the literature survey carried out there is no method developed in determination of these drugs by RP-HPLC. The separation was carried out using HPLC instruments. The column used for sodium picosulphate and citric acid was L1, (Hypersil – BDS, 150 x 4.6 mm, 5 µ). L1, (Develosil – MG05, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5µ) respectively. The flow rate selected for sodium picosulphate was 1ml/min and that for citric acid was 0.8 ml/min. The UV visible PDA detector was used at 263 nm and 210 nm for sodiumpic and citric acid respectively. The mobile phase used for sodium picosulphate was prepared by mixing buffer (Na2HPO4 +KH2PO4+trimethyl amine) with acetonitrile ratio 85:15 and that for citric acid by mixing buffer (trifluoro acetic acid) and methanol in ratio 900:100. Developed method was validated in terms of linearity, range, specificity, precession, accuracy, robustness, ruggedness. The linearity range was found to be 50% to 150% with correlation coefficient of 0.998 and 0.9978 for sodium picosulphate and citric acid respectively. Content of sodium picosulphate and citric acid was found to be 99.6% and 100.2% respectively.
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INTRODUCTION
Pendo-PURGATIVE containing sodium picosulphate chemically known as disodium 4,4-(2-pyirdyl methylene di(phenyl sulphate),which is freely soluble in water and soluble in methanol,slightly soluble in ethanol used as laxative and citric acid chemically known as 2-hydroxy-b-1,2,3-propanee tricarboxylic acid which is soluble in methanol,water and sparingly soluble in ether used as a laxative,binding agent, diluent in a combined formulation. The separation was carried out using HPLC instruments. According to the literature survey carried out there is no analytical method estimation of these drugs in their combined formulation. Hence a RP – HPLC method was developed and validated as per ICH1 guidelines.

EXPERIMENTAL
HPLC (waters alliance 2695 seperation module), UU (Shimadzu 2450), Analytical balance (melter Toledo AG 204), sonicator (Bandelin) disodium hydrogen phosphate HPLC grade, Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (HPLC grade), Triethylamine (HPLC grade), Phosphoricacid (HPLC grade), water mill - Q. sodiumpico sulphate 99.63% citric acid 99.90% (granules India Ltd).

PARAMETER | SODIUM PICOSULPHATE | CITRIC ACID |
--- | --- | --- |
Temperature | 30 ± 1°C | 25 ± 1°C |
Detector | PDA 263 nm | PDA 210nm |
Flow rate | 1ml/min | 0.8ml / min |
Injection volume | 50 µl | 25ml |
Column | Hypersil – BDS, 150x4.6 mm, 5µ | Develosil–MG-5, 250x4.6mm, 5µ |
Retention time | 6.8 | 6.9 |
Run time | 10 min | 15 min |
Preparation of standard solution:
For sodium picosulphate 20 mg of sodium picosulphate was weighted and transferred to a 200ml volumetric flask. Additional dilutions were made to get 0.008mg/ml of sodium picosulphate final concentration with milli-Q water. For citric acid 30mg was accuracy weighed and transferred to 25ml volumetric flask. Additional dilutions were made with mobile phase [mixture of buffer (Trifluoro acetic acid in water) and methanol] to get final concentration of 1.2mg/ml of citric acid.

Preparation of sample solution:
Solution picosulphate solution
Content of 10 sachets were accurately weighted and transferred to motor and pestle and crushed. Sodium picosulphate equivalent to 10gm was accurately weighed and transferred to 100ml volumetric flask. 50ml of mill-Q-water was added and stand for 5min till effervescence has ceased, cool to room temperature, sonicate for 30 minutes with occasional shaking. Final volume was made up with milli-Q-water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Given below in the table and figures ate the summary of the results.

Table-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Acceptance criteria</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>Number of theoretical plates determined for sodium picosulphate and citric acid is 5010 and 10,100</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Number of theoretical plates determined for sodium picosulphate and citric acid is 5055.7 and 11074.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tailing factor for sodium picosulphate and citric acid should be less than 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tailing factor for sodium picosulphate and citric acid should be less than 0.8 to 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Linearity</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient should be not less than 99.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation coefficient should be not less than 0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage curve fitting should be less than 99.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage curve fitting less than 0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Precession</td>
<td>RSD should not be more than 2%</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>The results are found to be within the acceptance limits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Percentage recovery should be between 98.0 and 102.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Percentage recovery found to be between 98.0 and 100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Robustness</td>
<td>The number of theoretical plates determined for sodium picosulphate and citric acid should be between 5010 and 10,100</td>
<td></td>
<td>The number of theoretical plates determined for sodium picosulphate and citric acid found to be between 5055.7 and 11074.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The tailing factor for sodium picosulphate and citric acid should be less than 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>The tailing factor for sodium picosulphate and citric acid found to be 0.8 and 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Ruggedness</td>
<td>Percentage RSD of assay result obtained should be not more than 2.0.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.36–1.55% for sodium picosulphate. For citric acid it was found 0.3 -1.72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citric acid solution preparation
Content of 10 sachets were accurately weighed and transferred into 100ml volumetric flask. 50ml mill-Q-water was added and stand for 5min till effervescence has gone, cool to room temperature, sonicate for 30 minutes and make up the final volume with mill-Q-water. 1ml of above solution was taken and transferred to 100ml volumetric flask and final volume was made with with mobile phase
[mixture of buffer (trifluoro acetic acid in water) and methanol]. Solution was filtered through 0.45μ filter paper.
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