

STUDIES OF SOME UNI-BIVALENT ION EXCHANGE REACTION SYSTEMS USING STRONGLY BASIC ANION EXCHANGE RESIN TULSION A-33

P.U. Singare^{*1}, R.S. Lokhande² and P.C.Vartak³

 ¹ Department of Chemistry, Bhavan's College, Andheri, Mumbai – 58, India
² Department of Chemistry, University of Mumbai, Vidyanagari, Santacruz, Mumbai-98, India
³ Department of Chemistry, VIVA College, M.B.Estate, Virar (West), Mumbai-4013030, India *E-mail: pravinsingare@vsnl.net

ABSTRACT

The thermodynamic study was carried to predict the selectivity behaviour of ion exchange resin Tulsion A-33 in chloride form for inorganic anions like sulphate and oxalate. The equilibrium constant K values for the ion exchange reactions were calculated at different temperatures from which the enthalpy values were obtained. The equilibrium constant K calculated for Cl⁻/SO₄²⁻ and Cl⁻/C₂O₄²⁻ reaction systems were observed to increase with rise in temperature indicating endothermic ion exchange reactions having enthalpy values of 53.76 and 88.28 kJ /mol respectively. The high K values and low enthalpy for sulphate ion exchange reaction indicates higher selectivity of the resin for sulphate ions as compared to that for oxalate ions also in solution. **Keywords:** Ion exchange equilibrium; Equilibrium constant; Enthalpy; Endothermic reactions; Anion exchange; Ionic selectivity; Tulsion A-33.

INTRODUCTION

Ion exchange is one of the most common techniques that have been employed for many years in chemical process industries and effluent treatment plant. The ion exchange process is very effective at transferring the content of a large volume of industrial effluent into a small volume of solid. Extensive work was done by previous researchers to study the properties of the ion exchange resins, to generate thermodynamic data related to various uni-univalent and heterovalent ion exchange systems ¹⁻⁷. Recently theories explaining ion exchange equilibrium between the resin phase and solution was also developed ⁸. A number of researchers carried out equilibrium studies, extending over a wide range of composition of solution and resin phase ⁹⁻³¹. Attempts were also made to study the equilibrium of cation exchange systems ^{12, 24-31} for computing the thermodynamic equilibrium constants. Therefore in the present investigation attempts were made to study the thermodynamics of uni-bivalent anion exchange equilibrium, the results of which will be of considerable use in explaining the selectivity of ion exchange for various bivalent ions in solution.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ion exchange resin Tulsion A-33 as supplied by the manufacturer (Thermax Ltd., Pune) was a strongly basic anion exchange resin in hydroxide form of 16-50 mesh size. For present investigation, the resin grains of 30-40 mesh size were used. The conditioning of the resins in chloride form was done by usual methods using 10% potassium chloride solution ²⁵⁻²⁸.

0.500g of ion exchange resins in Cl⁻ form was equilibrated with SO_4^{2-} ion solution of different concentrations at a constant temperature of 30.0 0 C for 3 h. From the results of kinetics study reported earlier ³²⁻⁴³; it was observed that this duration was adequate to attain the ion exchange equilibrium. After 3

UNI-BIVALENT ION EXCHANGE REACTION SYSTEMS

h the different SO_4^{2-} ion solutions in equilibrium with ion exchange resins were analysed for their Cl⁻ ion concentration by potentiometric titration with standard 0.1N AgNO₃ solution. From the results the equilibrium constant **K** for the reaction

$$2\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{Cl} + \mathbf{SO_4}^{2} \cdot_{(\mathrm{aq.})} \underbrace{\qquad \qquad} \mathbf{R}_2 \mathbf{SO}_4 + 2\mathbf{Cl} \cdot_{(\mathrm{aq.})} \tag{1}$$

was determined at 30.0 0 C. The equilibrium constants **K** for the above Cl⁻/SO₄²⁻ system was determined for different temperatures in the range of 30.0 0 C to 45.0 0 C. Similar study was also carried out for Cl⁻/C₂O₄²⁻ system in the same temperature range, to study the equilibrium constant **K** for the reaction

The SO_4^{2-} and $C_2O_4^{2-}$ ion solutions used in the entire experimental work, where prepared by dissolving their respective analytical grade potassium salts in distilled deionised water. In the present study, a semimicro burette having an accuracy of 0.05 mL was used in the titrations and the titration readings were accurate to ± 0.05 mL. Considering the magnitude of the titer values, the average equilibrium constants reported in the experiment are accurate to ± 3 %.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The equilibrium constants for the uni-bivalent ion exchange reactions (1 and 2) would be given by the expression

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \frac{(\alpha_{R2Y}) (\alpha_{X})^{2}}{(\alpha_{RX})^{2} (\alpha_{Y})^{2}}_{\text{aq.}}$$
(3)

where α is the activities of various species, $X = Cl^{-1}$ ion and $Y = SO_4^{2-1}$ and $C_2O_4^{2-1}$ ions. In the above expression, the activities of X and Y in the aqueous solution are obtained from their respective concentrations and activity coefficients derived from Debye Huckel limiting law. As regards the activities of the two ions in the resin are concerned, the situation is different. Ordinarily the activity should be obtained as a product of concentration and the activity coefficient. In lieu of the concentration of the ions in the resin, their respective amounts in milliequivalents can be used, as shown by the satisfactory results obtained for the equilibrium constant of uni-univalent exchange reactions. On this basis, the equilibrium constant would be given by the expression-

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \frac{(C_{R2Y}, \gamma_{R2Y}) (C_{X}, \gamma_{X})^{2}}{(C_{RX}, \gamma_{RX})^{2} (C_{y}^{2}, \gamma_{Y}^{2})}$$
(4)

where γ is the activity coefficient of ions in the solution at equilibrium. In this expression, the concentrations of ions in the resin phase in terms of their amounts in milliequivalents are known while their individual activity coefficients i.e. γ_{R2Y} and γ_{RX} are not known. Indeed, it appears that there is no way for evaluating them individually. In case of uni-univalent exchange reactions, they could be ignored because they where likely to be of the same magnitude (being for univalent ions) and in the expression for equilibrium their ratio is nearly one. In the present case for uni-bivalent exchange however, the activity coefficients can not be ignored because in the expression for the equilibrium constant they appear as $\gamma_{R2Y} / (\gamma_{RX})^2$. Since γ_{R2Y} and γ_{RX} are likely to vary with the concentration of the ions Y and X in the resin, the

above mentioned quantity is also likely to vary with the concentrations of the ions in the resin. This is confirmed from the fact that the equilibrium constant as calculated from the expression

$$K_{app.} = \frac{(C_{R2Y}) (C_{X}^{-})^{2} (\gamma_{X}^{-})^{2}}{(C_{RX})^{2} (C_{Y}^{2-}) (\gamma_{Y}^{2-})}$$
(5)

varies with the concentration of the ions in the resin (Table 1). In absence of any method to determine the activity coefficients of the ions in the resin individually the best that can be done is to attempt to determine the quantity $\gamma_{R2Y} / (\gamma_{RX})^2$ and to determine the true equilibrium constant. In ionic equilibrium it is conventional to regard zero concentration as the standard state when the mean activity coefficient becomes unity. In the present situation however, such standard state can not be chosen for the ions in the resin because the ion exchange resin will always contains its capacity full of ions which can not be decreased. No doubt the ions in the resin might all be univalent or all be bivalent or partly univalent and partly bivalent. In any case the resin contain ions to its full capacity. However, when the resin is entirely in the Cl⁻ form (univalent), its ionic strength will be much different from that when the resin is entirely in SO₄²⁻ or C₂O₄²⁻ (bivalent) form. Therefore it is expected that the quantity $\gamma_{R2Y} / (\gamma_{RX})^2$ will vary according to what extent the resin is in the univalent and bivalent ionic form.

In view of the above, it is found best to choose the ion exchange resin completely in univalent ionic form as the standard state and refer the resin at any other composition of the uni/bivalent ions to this standard state. Therefore the apparent equilibrium constants calculated by the equation 5 have been plotted versus the equilibrium concentrations of the bivalent ions in the solution ²⁵⁻²⁹. Lower the equilibrium concentration of the bivalent ion, lower would be its concentration in the resin and in the limiting case of zero equilibrium concentration of the bivalent ion in the solution, the resin would be in its standard state. Therefore on extrapolating the above curve to zero equilibrium concentration of bivalent ion in the solution, one obtains the equilibrium constant in the standard state, K_{std} ²⁵⁻³³. Having thus obtained the equilibrium constant in the standard state one can obtain the activity coefficient ratio of ions $\gamma_{R2Y} / (\gamma_{RX})^2$ at any finite equilibrium concentration of bivalent ion in the solution as the ratio of K_{std} . / K_{app} . The results of such calculations are presented in the Table 1. It is significant that when the log K_{app} , is plotted against 1 / T, different slopes and hence different values of enthalpy of ion exchange reaction are obtained. However, a satisfactory linear graph with definite slope was obtained when $\log K_{std}$ was plotted against $1 / T^{25-28}$, giving a definite value of enthalpy for ion exchange reactions 1 and 2 (Figure 1). This is an ample justification for the choice of standard state for equilibrium constant. Bonner and Pruett¹⁶ studied the temperature effect on uni-univalent exchanges involving some bivalent ions. In all bivalent exchanges, the equilibrium constant decreases with rise in temperature resulting in exothermic reactions. However in the present investigation, for the uni-bivalent exchange reactions the value of equilibrium constant increases with rise in temperature giving positive enthalpy values (Table 1), indicating the endothermic ion exchange reactions. The low enthalpy and higher K values for Cl⁻/SO₄²⁻ exchange as compared to that for Cl⁻/C₂O₄²⁻ exchange (Table 1), indicates that the resins in Cl⁻ form are having more affinity for SO₄²⁻ ions in solution as compared to that for C₂O₄²⁻ ions also in the solution.

CONCLUSION

There are number of liquid processes waste streams at chemical processing, nuclear power plants, nuclear fuel reprocessing plants and nuclear research centers that requires treatment for removal of various contaminants. One of the most common treatment methods for such aqueous streams is the use of ion exchange, which is a well developed technique that has been employed for many years in chemical as well as nuclear industries. While designing an ion exchange liquid waste processing system it is desirable UNI-BIVALENT ION EXCHANGE REACTION SYSTEMS 493 P.U. Singare et al.

RASĀYAN J. Chem. Vol.2, No.2 (2009), 491-496

Amou		exenang		III CI	101111 - 0	.500g, Te	mpe	rature range =	$= 30.0 {}^{0}\text{C} - 43$	$5.0^{\circ}C$	UUIIIL	, Excitaliz	ge capacity	- 1.5mcq.	/ 0.300g,		
Reaction 1									Reaction 2								
								Temper	rature = 30.0 °	С							
Initial Conc. of SO ₄ ²⁻ ions in solution (M)	Equilibrium conc. in solution (M)			Amount of the ions on the resin meq. / 0.500 g			nt Equilibrium nt K _{app.} x 10 ⁻³	$\frac{(\gamma_{RCO4})}{(\gamma_{RCI})^2}$	Initial Conc. of C ₂ O ₄ ²⁻ ions in Solution (M)	Equilibrium conc. in solution (M)		conc. in	Amount of the ions on the resin meq. / 0.500 g		ent brium	$= \frac{(\chi_{app.} x 10^{-3})}{(\gamma_{RCI})^2}$	
	C _{Cl} -	C SO ₄ ²⁻		C _{RCI}	I C R2 SC)4	Constar	$\frac{K_{std}}{K_{app.}} =$		C _{Cl}		$C C_2 O_4^{2-}$	C _{RCl}	C R2 C2O4	Appar Equilil	Consta <u>Kapp</u> Kapp.	
0.020	0.0112	2 0.01	44	0.38	0.56	5 19	9.5	0.359	0.020	0.0110		0.0145	0.40	0.55	16.5	0.242	
0.025	0.0120	0.01	190 0.3		0.60) 2'	7.2	0.257	0.025	0.0118		0.0191	0.33	0.59	21.6	0.185	
0.030	0.0125	5 0.02	.38	0.25	0.63	3 33.3		0.210	0.030	0.0123		0.0239	0.28	0.61	24.7	0.162	
0.040	0.0130	0.03	35	0.20	0.65	5 3'	7.2	0.188	0.040	0.0125		0.0338	0.25	0.63	30.9	0.191	
Equilibriu	m consta	nnt in star	idard st	tate (i	$K_{std.}) = 7.0$	x 10 ⁻³			Equilibriu	n constant	t in sta	ndard sta	te $(K_{std.}) = 4$.	0 x 10 ⁻³			
Temperature ^O C		30.0	35.0		40.0	45.0	E (Enthalpy kJ/ mol)	30.0		35.0		40.0	45.0		Enthalpy (kJ/ mol)	
$K_{std.} \times 10^{-3}$		7.0	10.0		15.0	20.0	5	53.76	4.0	9.0			13.0	17.0		88.28	

Table-1: Equilibrium constant for the uni-bivalent ion exchange reactions in Tulsion A-33 e resin in Cl^{-} form = 0.500g. Volume of exchangeable ion solution = 100mL. Exchange canac

wal $v_{i} = 1.5 m_{0} = 1.0500$. nount of io

UNI-BIVALENT ION EXCHANGE REACTION SYSTEMS

494

P.U. Singare et al.

to have an adequate knowledge about the distribution coefficient values and the selectivity behaviour of these ion exchange resin towards different ions present in liquid waste. The thermodynamic data obtained in the present experimental work will be useful to understand the selectivity behaviour of ion exchange resins for various ions in solution thereby helping in characterization of resins.





Fig.-1: Variation of Equilibrium Constant with Temperature for Uni-bivalent Ion Exchange Reactions in

Tulsion A-33

Amount of the ion exchange resin in Cl⁻ form= 0.500 g, Volume of the SO₄ ²⁻ / C_2O_4 ²⁻ ion solution = 100mL, Exchange capacity =1.5 meq. / 0.500g, Temperature range = 30.0°C -45.0°C

REFERENCES

- 1. N.N. Andreev and Y.I. Kuznetsov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 64, 1537 (1990).
- 2. A. Bhargava and C. Janardanan, Indian J.Chem., 36A, 624 (1997).
- 3. D. Muraviev, A. Gonzalo and M. Valiente, Anal. Chem., 67, 3028 (1995).
- 4. G.E. Boyd, F. Vaslow and S. Lindenbaum, J.Phys. Chem., 71, 2214 (1967).
- 5. J.F. Duncan, Aus.J.Chem.Soc., 8, 1 (1955).
- 6. G.E. Boyd, F. Vaslow and S. Lindenbaum, J. Phys. Chem., 68, 590 (1964).
- 7. A. Schwarz and G.E. Boyd, J.Phys.Chem., 69, 4268 (1965).
- 8. N.I. Gamayunov, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 64, 1787 (1990).
- 9. G.E. Boyd and G.E. Myers, J.Phys.Chem., 60, 521 (1956).
- 10. O.D. Bonner, J.Phys.Chem., 59, 719 (1955).
- 11. O.D. Bonner, J.Phys.Chem., 58, 318 (1954).
- 12. S. Lindenbaum, C.F. Jumper and G.E. Boyd, J.Phys. Chem., 63, 1924 (1959).
- 13. K.A. Kraus and R.J. Raridon, J.Phys. Chem., 63, 1901 (1959).

UNI-BIVALENT ION EXCHANGE REACTION SYSTEMS 495

P.U. Singare et al.

- 14. O.D. Bonner and W.H. Payne, J.Phys. Chem., 58,183 (1954).
- 15. W.J. Argersinger and A.W. Davidson, J.Phys. Chem., 56, 92 (1952).
- 16. O.D. Bonner and R.R. Pruett, J.Phys.Chem., 63, 1420 (1959).
- 17. O.D. Bonner and F.L. Livingston, J.Phys. Chem., 60, 530 (1956).
- 18. O.D. Bonner and L.L. Smith, J.Phys.Chem., 61, 326 (1957).
- 19. O.D. Bonner, C.F. Jumper and O.C. Rogers, J.Phys. Chem., 62, 250 (1958).
- 20. O.D. Bonner and L.L. Smith, J.Phys.Chem., 61, 1614 (1957).
- 21. J. Kielland, J.Soc. Chem. Ind., (London) 54,232 (1935).
- 22. A.P. Vanselow, J.Am. Chem. Soc., 54, 1307(1932).
- 23. G.L. Gaines (Jr.) and H.C. Thomas, J.Chem. Phys., 21, 714 (1953).
- 24. K.A. Kraus, R.J. Raridon and D.L. Holcomb, *Chromatogr.J.*, **3**,178 (1960).
- 25. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and A.B. Patil, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A, 81, 2059 (2007).
- 26. P.U. Singare, R.S. Lokhande and T.S. Prabhavalkar, Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop., 22, 415 (2008).
- 27. R.S. Lokhande and P.U. Singare, J.Ind. Council Chem., 24, 73 (2007).
- 28. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and A.R. Kolte, Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop., 22, 107 (2008).
- 29. K.G. Heumann and K. Baier, Chromatographia, 15, 701 (1982).
- 30. O.D. Bonner, G. Dickel and H. Brummer, Z. Physik. Chem., (Frankfurt), 25, 81 (1960).
- 31. G.L. Starobinet, V.S. Soldatov and A.A. Krylova, Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 41, 194 (1967).
- 32. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and A.B. Patil, Radiochim. Acta, 95, 111 (2007).
- 33. R.S. Lokhande and P.U. Singare, Radiochim. Acta, 95, 173 (2007).
- 34. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and A.R. Kolte, Radiochim. Acta, 95, 595 (2007).
- 35. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and M. H. Dole, J.Nucl.Radiochem. Sci., 7, 29 (2006)
- 36. R.S. Lokhande and P.U. Singare, J. Porous Mater, 15, 253 (2008).
- 37. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and P. Karthikeyan, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A*, **81**, 1768 (2007).
- 38. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and M.H. Dole, *Radiochemistry*, 49, 519 (2007).
- 39. P.U. Singare, R.S. Lokhande and A.B. Patil, Radiochim. Acta, 96, 99 (2008).
- 40. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and S.R.D. Tiwari, *Radiochemistry*, **50**, 633 (2008).
- 41. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and T.S. Prabhavalkar, *Russ.J.Phys. Chem. A*, **82**, 1589 (2008).
- 42. R.S. Lokhande, P.U.Singare and S.A. Parab, *Radiochemistry*, **50**,642 (2008).
- 43. R.S. Lokhande, P.U. Singare and V.V. Patil, Radiochemistry, 50,638 (2008).

(Received: 1 December 2008

Accepted: 13 January 2009

RJC-300)

Adopt GREEN CHEMISTRY

Save Our Planet.

We publish papers of Green Chemistry on priority.

If you think that you may be a potential reviewer in field of your interest, write us at <u>rasayanjournal@gmail.com</u> with your detailed resume and recent color photograph.